Fiscal Estimate - 2015 Session

☑ Original ☐ Updated	Corrected	Supplemental				
LRB Number 15-3216/1	Introduction Numb	oer SB-296				
Description No-knock search warrants						
Fiscal Effect						
Appropriations Reve	rease Existing to absenues	ise Costs - May be possible orb within agency's budget Yes No ase Costs				
Permissive Mandatory Perr	Affecter missive Mandatory rease Revenue missive Mandatory Mandatory Scott	nment Units				
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS						
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date				
DNR/ Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794	Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794	10/20/2015				

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DNR 10/20/2015

LRB Number 15-3216/1	Introduction Number	SB-296	Estimate Type	Original
Description				
No-knock search warrants				

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

The bill requires law enforcement agencies to develop a policy on when to request and when to execute a no-knock warrant and to submit the policy to the Department of Justice (DOJ). In addition, it requires law enforcement agencies to submit an annual report to DOJ detailing the number of search warrants issued and executed in the preceding year.

A. State Fiscal Effect

The bill would require the Department of Natural Resources' law enforcement programs to engage in additional policy development and annual reporting. Under current law, Department use of no-knock search warrants is exceptionally rare.

1. Policy Development

Initial policy development would likely require 200 administrative staff hours (\$11,000), which includes research, drafting, legal review, and coordination with the Department of Justice. Initial statewide training on the policy would require approximately 500 general staff hours (\$20,200).

Ongoing policy updates, which require coordination with DOJ, are estimated at requiring 20 administrative staff hours annually (\$1,100).

2. Reporting Requirements

Currently, the Department does not maintain a system to centrally track the quantity and conditions of all search warrants issued to DNR law enforcement officers throughout the state's 72 counties. The cost of implementing tracking processes unique to search warrants, which may include software or information technology system development, is indeterminate, but could range as high as \$250,000 or greater if the Department is required to independently procure a comprehensive records management system. It is unknown if partnerships with other state agencies could be developed to utilize a statewide system to cost-share expenditures for such as system, or if other agencies are currently using a warrant-tracking system that DNR could additionally utilize. Ongoing annual costs to maintain a records system to track warrants, if a dedicated records management system is required, could range as high as approximately \$50,000.

Ongoing annual staff time for reporting would be approximately 100 administrative staff hours at a total of \$5,500.

B. Local Fiscal Effect

From the local government perspective, all law enforcement agencies within the state would be required to comply with policy development, tracking, and annual reporting of warrants. Depending on the resources of the agency, which may vary between departments employing one officer to agencies employing several thousand officers, costs to comply with this proposal's requirements will vary. Some police agencies may routinely execute warrants, including no-knock warrants, while others may infrequently obtain warrants, and may have never executed a no-knock search warrant. The variability of local law enforcement agency staffing and resources make the amount of increased costs impossible for DNR to accurately estimate.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2015 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

☑ Original ☐ Updated		Corrected		Supplemental			
LRB Number 15-3216/1		Introduction Num	ber	SB-296			
Description No-knock search warrants							
I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts fo annualized fiscal effect):	r Sta	te and/or Local Governr	nent (d	o not include in			
\$31,200 for policy development/implementa	ation.	indeterminate costs for sy	/stem ir	mnlementation			
II. Annualized Costs:	Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:						
		Increased Costs		Decreased Costs			
A. State Costs by Category							
State Operations - Salaries and Fringes		\$6,600		\$			
(FTE Position Changes)							
State Operations - Other Costs							
Local Assistance							
Aids to Individuals or Organizations							
TOTAL State Costs by Category		\$6,600		\$			
B. State Costs by Source of Funds							
GPR							
FED							
PRO/PRS							
SEG/SEG-S (Conservation Fund)		6,600					
III. State Revenues - Complete this only revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in			or decr	ease state			
revenues (e.g., tax merease, decrease m	nce	Increased Rev		Decreased Rev			
GPR Taxes		\$		\$			
GPR Earned							
FED							
PRO/PRS			<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
SEG/SEG-S							
TOTAL State Revenues		\$		\$			
NET ANNU	ALIZ	ED FISCAL IMPACT					
		<u>State</u>		Local			
NET CHANGE IN COSTS		\$6,600	\$				
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE		\$		\$			
Agency/Prepared By	Au	thorized Signature		Date			
DNR/ Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794	266-2794 Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794			10/20/2015			